# The Dynamics of Referral Hiring and Racial Inequality: Evidence from Brazil

Conrad Miller<sup>1</sup> Ian Schmutte<sup>2</sup> 21 September 2021

<sup>1</sup>University of California, Berkeley and NBER

<sup>2</sup>University of Georgia

# (How) does referral-based hiring contribute to racial inequality in the labor market?

- Referrals are widely used in hiring Ioannides and Loury (2004); Burks et al. (2015); Topa (2019)
- Alleviate information frictions for firms and workers Montgomery (1991); Simon and Warner (1992); Dustmann et al. (2016)
- Homophily affects access to referral opportunities
  Calvo-Armengol and Jackson (2004); Bolte et al. (2020); Okafor (2020)
  - Racial segregation / homophily in particular McPherson et al. (2001)

 $\implies$  Referral hiring can drive racial disparities in labor demand

### This Paper: Dynamic Effects of Referral Hiring

#### Prior work: referral hires share characteristics of incumbent workers

(e.g., Petersen et al., 2000; Fernandez and Fernandez-Mateo, 2006; Dustmann et al., 2016)

► We emphasize dynamic effects over firm's life-cycle

#### Framework: Job search model

- 1. Firms hire through referrals and formal methods
- 2. Referral networks are racially segregated
- 3. Firms are more informed about match quality of referred job seekers
- 4. At least some referrals are made by non-referred employees

Test model predictions using employer-employee data from Brazil (RAIS)

- 1. Firms with white founders are more likely to hire white employees than comparable firms with nonwhite founders
- 2. Racial composition of hires converges with cumulative hires
- 3. Firms are less likely to dismiss recent hires of the same race as the firm's founder
- 4. Racial differences in dismissal rates dissipate as cumulative hires increase

- ► Few firms make enough hires to reach convergence
- Large racial disparities in entrepreneurship
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\Rightarrow$  referral hiring helps explain why nonwhite workers:
  - are dismissed by employer at higher rates
  - have lower seniority
  - sort to larger employers

# **Talk Outline**





- **3** Evidence
  - Referral effects
  - Hiring dynamics
  - **Turnover dynamics**
  - Alternative interpretations



4 Implications for racial inequality

# **Talk Outline**

# 1 Model

- 2 Context and data
- 3 Evidence
  - **Referral effects**
  - Hiring dynamics
  - Turnover dynamics
  - Alternative interpretations



Morgan and Várdy (2009), Dustmann et al. (2016)

- ► Firm fills *n* vacancies sequentially;
- ► Matching:
  - with prob.  $\omega$ , match with referred candidate;
  - Match-specific productivity,  $\theta \in \{0, 1\}$ ;
  - $\theta = 1$  indicates candidate can perform job;
  - $Pr(\theta = 1)$  independent of pool and race
  - Incumbents refer same-race candidates

# Model Outline II

#### Stages

- Before hire: observe a signal of match productivity; more precise signal for referrals
  - Firm can improve non-referred signal at fixed cost (Holzer, 1987; Marsden, 1994; Rebien et al., 2020)
- If hired, they learn θ in probationary period; firm decides whether to retain
  - Morgan and Várdy (2009):
    - referral hires less likely to be dismissed;
    - ▶ if firm sufficiently selective, referral share decreasing in *n*
- If firm retains hire, it moves on to next vacancy; otherwise, repeat process













#### Figure 1: Simulated Nonwhite Share of Hires, White Founder



- 1. Racial composition of hires at firms with white and nonwhite founders converge as cumulative hires increase.
- 2. Referral hires have lower dismissal rates than external market hires.
- 3. The referral dismissal advantage is decreasing in job spell tenure.
- 4. Racial differences in dismissal rates dissipate with cumulative hires.
- 5. Conditional on cumulative hires, racial differences in dismissal rates are decreasing in job spell tenure.

- 1. Racial composition of hires at firms with white and nonwhite founders converge as cumulative hires increase.
- 2. Referral hires have lower dismissal rates than external market hires.
- 3. The referral dismissal advantage is decreasing in job spell tenure.
- 4. Racial differences in dismissal rates dissipate with cumulative hires.
- 5. Conditional on cumulative hires, racial differences in dismissal rates are decreasing in job spell tenure.

- 1. Racial composition of hires at firms with white and nonwhite founders converge as cumulative hires increase.
- 2. Referral hires have lower dismissal rates than external market hires.
- 3. The referral dismissal advantage is decreasing in job spell tenure.
- 4. Racial differences in dismissal rates dissipate with cumulative hires.
- 5. Conditional on cumulative hires, racial differences in dismissal rates are decreasing in job spell tenure.

# **Talk Outline**

### 1 Model

# 2 Context and data

#### 3 Evidence

**Referral effects** 

Hiring dynamics

Turnover dynamics

Alternative interpretations



#### **Context: Race in Brazil**

Racial identity tied to skin color (Telles, 2004)

#### Census categories:

Portuguese	English	Share
Branca	"White"	55.71
Pardo	"Brown"	36.05
Preto	"Black"	7.54
Amarelo	"Yellow"	0.50
Indigeno	"Indigenous"	0.21

Source: PNAD, 2009

- we group pardo and preto workers as 'nonwhite'
- Wage and unemployment rate gaps are about 30%
- Limited anti-discrimination laws; viewed as weak
  - No legislation mandating racial diversity in the private sector 11/35

#### Data

#### Relação Anual de Informações Sociais (RAIS) 2003-2017

- Employer-employee data on formal sector jobs,
- Includes:
  - ► Job characteristics: wage, hours, occupation, start/end date
  - Plant characteristics: industry, size, location
  - Worker characteristics: education, race, gender
- Excludes large informal sector
  - Similar selection by race (Gerard et al. 2020)
- Sample restrictions for new hires:
  - Workers contracted for at least 30 hours per week
  - Ages 18 to 65
  - Exclude public and temporary contracts

	All Employees			Recent Hires		
	Pooled	White	Nonwhite	Pooled	White	Nonwhite
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Nonwhite (%)	36.5	0.0	100.0	39.0	0.0	100.0
Log Wage	2.006	2.079	1.878	1.851	1.900	1.775
	(0.674)	(0.713)	(0.581)	(0.553)	(0.582)	(0.493)
Male (%)	66.3	64.3	69.9	67.4	64.8	71.4
Age	33.7	34.0	33.1	30.9	31.1	30.7
< HS	30.4	28.7	33.5	28.4	25.9	32.3
HS Grad	57.2	56.3	58.8	61.7	61.8	61.4
College Grad	12.4	15.0	7.7	9.9	12.2	6.3
N Worker-Year Obs.	688m	437m	251m	254m	155m	99m

*Relação Anual de Informações Sociais* (RAIS) 2003–2017. We limit the sample to the jobs of men and women between the ages of 18 and 65 on private sector, indeterminate length contracts for at least 30 hours per week.





# 3 Evidence

**Referral effects** 

Hiring dynamics

Turnover dynamics

Alternative interpretations







# 3 Evidence

#### **Referral effects**

Hiring dynamics

Turnover dynamics

Alternative interpretations



Implications for racial inequality

- ► We make three key assumptions about referral behavior:
  - Referrals are an important factor in hiring outcomes
  - Incumbents (tend to) refer same-race referral candidates
  - Referral share of hires is declining in employer size
- ► We provide empirical support for both assumptions

#### **Evidence on Referral Behavior**

# We proxy for social connections using information on past co-working relationships

Cingano and Rosolia (2012); Kramarz and Thesmar (2013); Hensvik and Skans (2016); Eliason et al. (2020)

#### Identification strategy 1 (Eliason et al., 2020)

- Compare workers that separate from the same plant, j, in the same year
- Measure change in probability of being hired in any other plant k...
- ... when a social connection (past co-worker) is present

#### **Evidence on Referral Behavior**

- Identification strategy 2 (Hensvik and Skans, 2016, sort of)
  - 'placebo' social connections: pairs that worked in the same plant, but not at the same time.
  - Compare probability of being hired in plant *k*...
  - for workers with true versus placebo connections
- Define coworker overlap
  - positive: number of months two workers were previously employed in the same job (plant-occupation)
  - negative: number of months between job spells for two workers that both held the same job in the past

#### Figure 2: True Coworker Connections Relative to Placebo Connections



#### Figure 3: Hiring Share by Coworker Overlap by Incumbent and Job Seeker Race



► we estimate the dyadic model Eliason et al. (2020)

$$P_{ijk} = \alpha_{jk} + X_{ij}\beta + \lambda C_{ijk} + \lambda^* A_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}.$$

- i denotes a worker who separates from plant j
- $P_{ijk} = 1$  if *i* moves from origin *j* to destination *k*
- C<sub>ijk</sub> = 1 if i has a true social connection to some incumbent employee at destination k
- $A_{ijk} = 1$  if *i* has any connection at *k* (true or placebo)
- $\alpha_{jk}$  origin-destination pair fixed effects



	Overall			Race Match		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
True Link	0.182	0.222	0.117			
	(0.003)	(0.004)	(0.003)			
Any Link		0.097	0.066			
		(0.004)	(0.002)			
Race Match $\times$ True Link						
Nonwhite / Nonwhite				0.192		
				(0.007)		
Nonwhite / White				0.025		
				(0.004)		
White / Nonwhite				0.020		
				(0.007)		
White / White				0.136		
				(0.006)		
Dep. Var. Mean.	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084		
Estab. Pair FE	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Placebo Link Control		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Num. Estab. Pairs	23,026,153					
Number of Obs.	303.338.866					

#### Table 1: Referral Effects Overall and by Race Match Displaced





# 3 Evidence

**Referral effects** 

#### Hiring dynamics

Turnover dynamics

Alternative interpretations



Implications for racial inequality

Prediction: as cumulative hires increase, composition of hires less correlated with race of founder

 Sample: HQ estabs of entrant firms; < 50 employees in first year

Descriptive Stats

 Founder race: (1) race of top-paid manager at entry; (2) race of owners



$$og(E(NONWHITE_{it}|\cdot)) = \sum_{n} \sum_{r} \eta^{n,r} \times \mathbf{1}_{\{N(J,t)=n\}} \times \mathbf{1}_{\{R(J)=r\}} + \tau_t + \mu_{m(J(i,t))} + \omega_{o(i,t)} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- *i* indexes worker, *t* indexes year, J(i, t) indexes firm
- ▶  $R(J) \in \{\text{white}, \text{nonwhite}\}$
- ► *N*(*J*, *t*) indexes cumulative hires to date
- $\tau_t$  are year fixed effects
- $\mu_{m(J)}$  are micro region fixed effects
- $\omega_{o(i,t)}$  are 2-digit occupation fixed effects
- Poisson quasi maximum likelihood (Wooldridge, 1999; Correia et al., 2020)

Figure 4: Nonwhite Share of Hires Converges with Cumulative Hires



Size Distribution By Ownership By AKM Firm Effect
### Figure 5: Nonwhite Share of Hires Converges with Cumulative Hires by Total Hires



# **Talk Outline**





## 3 Evidence

**Referral effects** 

Hiring dynamics

## Turnover dynamics

Alternative interpretations



Implications for racial inequality

Predictions:

- Referral hires have lower dismissal rates
- Referral advantage is decreasing in job tenure
- Racial gap in dismissal dissipates with cumulative hires
- Racial gap in dismissal is decreasing in job tenure (given hires)

## **Empirical Strategy**

- Problem: Dismissal correlated with unobserved determinants of referral-based hiring
- Idea: Use discontinuity arising from Brazilian EPL

## Employees entitled to:

- Yearly bonuses equivalent to one month's salary
- Vacation pay
- At least 30 days' prior notice of any separation
- Severance pay (guaranteed for those dismissed without cause)
- ► Employers pays firing penalty (≈ 8% of accumulated compensation)

**But: only after 90 day probationary period** (see Arnold and Bernstein, 2021)



- If employers have better information about referred workers, then referrals should have lower turnover, but difference dissipates with tenure Brown et al. (2016)
- Referrals should also be less likely to separate during the end of the probationary period.

Figure 7: Dismissal Rates by Job Tenure



Prob. of dismissal during probationary period net of plant, occupation, and time effects by overlap

## Identifying the Referral Effect on Dismissal

- The presence of a connection could be associated with other productive traits not related to referral
- Compare workers with true connections to those with placebo connections:

$$\log \left[ \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{DISMISSED-3M}_{it} | \cdot) \right] = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \theta_k \mathbb{1}_k \left( \mathsf{OVERLAP}_{iJ(i,t)} \right) \\ + \tau_t + \omega_{o(i,t)} + \psi_{J(i,t)} + \epsilon_{it},$$

- DISMISSED-3M<sub>it</sub> indicates dismissal during probation
- $\mathcal{K}$  categorizes overlap into 3-month ranges, indexed by k

#### Figure 8: 3-Month Dismissal Rate



Dismissal during probationary period by overlap

## Racial Gap in Dismissal Rates by Cumulative Hires

- Prediction: racial gap in dismissal rates decreasing in cumulative hires
- We estimate within-firm racial differences in dismissal rates:

 $\log \left[ \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{DISMISSED-3M}_{it}|\cdot) \right] = \tau_t + \omega_{o(i,t)} + \psi_{J(i,t)} + \psi_{J(i,t)}^{NW} + \epsilon_{it}$ 

- DISMISSED<sub>it</sub> is indicator for dismissal within 3 months of hire
- $\psi_{J(i,t)}$  are firm effects
- $\psi_{J(i,t)}^{NW}$  is firm effect for nonwhite workers
- (Limit analysis to firms with at least 20 hires after year of entry)

#### Figure 9: Racial Disparity in Dismissal Rates by Founder Race



#### Figure 9: Racial Disparity in Dismissal Rates by Founder Race



# **Talk Outline**





## 3 Evidence

**Referral effects** 

Hiring dynamics

## Turnover dynamics

## Alternative interpretations



► Human capital details

Worker preferences details

- Taste-based discrimination details
- Complementarities in production details
- Screening ability details

# **Talk Outline**

# Model

- Context and data



4 Implications for racial inequality

## **Racial Disparities in Entrepreneurship**

- Our findings highlight connection between racial differences in *entrepreneurship* (and social connections to founders) and *labor demand*
- In household survey data, entrepreneurship rates at least twice as high for white men and women PNAD
- Small or young firms will disproportionately favor white job seekers in hiring
- Suggests nonwhite job seekers are connected to fewer firms, connected to larger firms that are less dependent on referral hiring

Dynamics of referral hiring can help explain why, relative to white workers, nonwhite workers

► Face higher dismissal rates details

have lower seniority details

sort to larger employers details

- All three patterns driven by firms with white founders
- Similar black-white differences in United States (Cavounidis et al., 2021; Holzer, 1998; Miller, 2017)

## Conclusion

- Many posit that the widespread reliance on referral hiring contributes to racial inequality
- We show that hiring and turnover dynamics match predictions of a simple job search model with referrals
- Racial disparities in entrepreneurship lead to racial differences in labor demand and match quality
- Affirmative action policies may speed up convergence
- Frictions that affect the size distribution of firms will have implications for racial inequality in the labor market (Restuccia and Rogerson, 2017)

Thank you!

Ian Schmutte schmutte@uga.edu

#### References

- Arnold, David and Joshua Bernstein, "The Effects of Tenure-Dependent Employment Protection Legislation," 2021. Unpublished manuscript.
- Åslund, Olof, Lena Hensvik, and Oskar Nordström Skans, "Seeking Similarity: How Immigrants and Natives Manage in the Labor Market," Journal of Labor Economics, July 2014, 32 (3), 405–441.
- Bagger, Jesper and Rasmus Lentz, "An Empirical Model of Wage Dispersion with Sorting," The Review of Economic Studies, 2018, 86 (1), 153–190.
- Benson, Alan, Simon Board, and Moritz Meyer ter vehn, "Discrimination in Hiring: Evidence from Retail Sales," 2019. Unpublished manuscript.
- Bolte, Lukas, Nicole Immorlica, and Matthew O. Jackson, "The Role of Referrals in Immobility, Inequality, and Inefficiency in Labor Markets," November 2020. Unpublished manuscript.
- Brown, Meta, Elizabeth Setren, and Giorgio Topa, "Do Informal Referrals Lead to Better Matches? Evidence from a Firm's Employee Referral System," Journal of Labor Economics, January 2016, 34 (1), 161–209.
- Buhai, I. Sebastian, Miguel A. Portela, Coen N. Teulings, and Aico van Vuuren, "Returns to Tenure or Seniority?," Econometrica, March 2014, 82 (2), 705–730.
- Burks, Stephen V., Bo Cowgill, Mitchell Hoffman, and Michael Housman, "The Value of Hiring through Employee Referrals," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, May 2015, 130 (2), 805–839.
- Calvo-Armengol, Antoni and Matthew O. Jackson, "The Effects of Social Networks on Employment and Inequality," American Economic Review, 2004, 94, 426–454.
- Cavounidis, Costas, Kevin Lang, and Russell Weinstein, "The Boss is Watching: How Monitoring Decisions Hurt Black Workers," February 2021. Unpublished manuscript.
- Cingano, Federico and Alfonso Rosolia, "People I know: job search and social networks," Journal of Labor Economics, 2012, 30 (2), 291 332.

## **References II**

- Correia, Sergio, Paulo Guimaraes, and Tom Zylkin, "PPMLHDFE: Fast Poisson Estimation with High-Dimensional Fixed Effects," Stata Journal, 2020, 20 (1), 95–115.
- Dustmann, Christian, Albrecht Glitz, Uta Schönberg, and Herbert Brücker, "Referral-based Job Search Networks," Review of Economic Studies, April 2016, 83 (2), 514–546.
- Eliason, Marcus, Lena Hensvik, Francis Kramarz, and Oskar Nordström Skans, "Social Connections and the Sorting of Workers to Firms," 2020. Unpublished manuscript.
- Fernandez, Roberto M. and Isabel Fernandez-Mateo, "Networks, Race, and Hiring," American Sociological Review, February 2006, 71 (1), 42–71.
- Giuliano, Laura, David I. Levine, and Jonathan Leonard, "Racial Bias in the Manager-Employee Relationship: An Analysis of Quits, Dismissals, and Promotions at a Large Retal Firm," *Journal of Human Resources*, January 2011, 46 (1), 26–52.
- Hensvik, Lena and Oskar Nordström Skans, "Social Networks, Employee Selection, and Labor Market Outcomes," Journal of Labor Economics, October 2016, 34 (4), 825–867.
- Holzer, Harry J., "Informal Job Search and Black Youth Unemployment," American Economic Review, June 1987, 77 (3), 446–452.
- \_\_\_\_\_, "Why Do Small Establishments Hire Fewer Blacks Than Large Ones?," Journal of Human Resources, 1998, 33 (4), 896–914.
- Ioannides, Yannis M. and Linda Datcher Loury, "Job information networks, neighborhood effects, and inequality," Journal of Economic Literature, 2004, 42, 1056–1093.
- Kramarz, Francis and David Thesmar, "Social Networks in the Boardroom," Journal of the European Economic Association, 2013, 11 (4), 780–807.
- Lang, Kevin, "A Language Theory of Discrimination," Quarterly Journal of Economics, May 1986, 101 (2), 363–382.
- Marsden, Peter V., "The hiring process," American Behavioral Scientist, 1994, 37 (7), 979-991.

## **References III**

- McPherson, Miller, Lynn Smith Lovin, and James M. Cook, "Birds of Feather: Homophily in Social Networks," Annual Review of Sociology, 2001, 27, 415–444.
- Miller, Conrad, "The Persistent Effect of Temporary Affirmative Action," American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, July 2017, 9 (3), 152–190.
- Montgomery, James D, "Social Networks and Labor Market Outcomes: Toward an Economic Analysis," American Economic Review, 1991, 81, 1408.
- Morgan, John and Felix Várdy, "Diversity in the Workplace," American Economic Review, March 2009, 99 (1), 472-485.
- Okafor, Chika O., "All Things Equal: Social Networks as a Mechanism for Discrimination," December 2020. Unpublished manuscript.
- Petersen, Trond, Ishak Saporta, and Marc-David L. Seidel, "Offering a Job: Meritocracy and Social Networks," American Journal of Sociology, November 2000, 106 (3), 763–816.
- Rebien, Martina, Michael Stops, and Anna Zaharieva, "Formal Search and Referrals From a Firm's Perspective," International Economic Review, November 2020, 61 (4), 1679–1748.
- Restuccia, Diego and Richard Rogerson, "The Causes and Costs of Misallocation," Journal of Economic Perspectives, Summer 2017, 31 (3), 151–174.
- Simon, Curtis J. and John T. Warner, "Matchmaker, Matchmaker: The Effect of Old Boy Networks on Job Match Quality, Earnings, and Tenure," *Journal of Labor Economics*, July 1992, 10 (3), 306–330.
- Sorkin, Isaac, "Ranking Firms Using Revealed Preference," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2018, 133 (3), 1331–1393.
- Telles, Edward E., Race in Another America: The Significance of Skin Color in Brazil, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2004.
- Topa, Giorgio, "Social and spatial networks in labour markets," Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 2019, 35 (4), 722–745.
- Wooldridge, Jeffrey M., "Distribution-free estimation of some nonlinear panel data models," Journal of Econometrics, 1999, 90 (1), 77–97.

- Anti-discrimination introduced only relatively recently; racial discrimination in employment illegal under 1988 Constitution and laws passed in 1989 and 1995
- Lax enforcement; few successful discrimination claims (Equal Rights Trust 2009)
- No legislation mandating racial diversity in the workplace

# Table 2: Entrepreneurship Rates and Characteristics of Private Sector Employees by Race

	All (1)	White (2)	Mixed (3)	Black (4)
A: Men				
Share of sample in column race group	1.00	0.48	0.43	0.08
Share in private employment	0.42	0.44	0.40	0.47
Share entrepreneurs	0.033	0.044	0.022	0.019
Characteristics of private sector emplo Mean log hourly wage Share in formal sector employment	yees 2.13 0.78	2.36 0.82	1.86 0.75	2.00 0.78
A: Women				
Share of sample in column race group	1.00	0.50	0.41	0.08
Share in private employment	0.22	0.26	0.18	0.21
Share entrepreneurs	0.017	0.024	0.011	0.008
Characteristics of private sector employeesMean log hourly wage2.052.231.74Share in formal sector employment0.800.820.76			1.86 0.80	

Source: PNAD 2002-2014

To assess differences in referral use by employer size, we allow referral effect to vary with destination plant size:

$$\log(E(P_{ijk}|\cdot)) = \alpha_{jk} + X_{ij}\beta + \left[\gamma + \sum_{s} \delta_{s} \mathbb{1}(S_{k} = s)\right] C_{ijk}.$$
(1)

where  $S_k$  indicates the size class of destination plant k

#### Figure 10: Referral Effects Decreasing in Establishment Size



## **Racial Segregation in Co-Worker Referral Networks**

- Whether pair of co-workers form social connections that generate referrals may depend on their racial concordance
- To assess differences in referral use by race, we allow referral effects to vary with race of the job seeker and the incumbent

$$\log(E(P_{ijk}|\cdot)) == \alpha_{jk} + X_{ij}\beta + [\gamma + \kappa_{W,N}M_{ijk}^{W,N} + \kappa_{N,W}M_{ijk}^{N,W} + \kappa_{W,W}M_{ijk}^{W,W}]C_{ijk} + \eta_{ijk}$$
(2)

M<sup>W,N</sup><sub>ijk</sub> = 1 if the hired worker is white and the incumbent worker to whom they are connected in firm k is nonwhite, and so on

		Overall		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
True Link	0.167	0.278	0.116	
	(0.012)	(0.020)	(0.014)	
Any Link		0.086	0.052	
		(0.013)	(0.007)	
Race Match $\times$ True Link				
Nonwhite / Nonwhite				0.181
				(0.028)
Nonwhite / White				0.016
				(0.018)
White / Nonwhite				0.017
				(0.025)
White / White				0.130
				(0.018)
Dep. Var. Mean.	0.074	0.074	0.074	0.074
Estab. Pair FE	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Placebo Link Control		1	1	1

#### Table 3: Referral Effects: Displacement Sample Back

Number of Obs.	11,323,615
Number of Obs.	11,323,615

#### Figure 11: Firm Size Distribution 5 Years Post-Entry





Figure 12: Share in Workforce in Human Resources by Size

	Dyads	Job Changer	Incumbents	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Any Link	8.4%			
Linked	4.1%			
Hired	0.082%			
White	30.2%	32.0%	50.1%	
Male	43.2%	55.9%	62.4%	
Age	32.2	31.7	34.2	
Dest. Size				
1-99	62.6%	59.0%	60.5%	
100-499	21.0%	22.7%	20.2%	
500+	16.5%	18.3%	19.3%	
Num. Obs.	303,338,866	1,353,787	9,216,640	

#### Table 4: Characteristics of Entrant Establishments

	By Top-Paid Manager			By Ownership		
	Pooled	White	Nonwhite	Pooled	White	Nonwhite
	Founders	Founders	Founders	Founders	Founders	Founders
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Nonwhite Founder (%)	33.0	0.0	100.0	16.6	0.0	100.0
Persistence						
After 3 Years	65.5	66.4	63.8	63.1	63.6	60.3
After 5 Years	42.3	43.3	40.2	39.4	40.1	35.9
Total Hires						
After 3 Years						
1-19	79.2	79.4	79.0	72.8	73.2	70.6
20-49	14.3	14.3	14.4	17.9	17.8	18.3
50-249	6.1	6.0	6.2	8.6	8.4	10.1
250-499	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.8
500-999	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
1000+	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
After 5 Years						
1-19	65.4	65.6	64.8	56.8	57.3	54.0
20-49	22.0	21.8	22.4	25.5	25.4	26.3
50-249	11.6	11.6	11.6	15.8	15.5	17.1
250-499	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.3	1.2	1.8
500-999	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6
1000+	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
Number of Firms	2.27m	1.52m	0.75m	591k	493k	98k

## Figure 13: Nonwhite Share of Hires Converges with Cumulative Hires, by Ownership



## Figure 14: Nonwhite Share of Hires Converges with Cumulative Hires by Total Hires, by Ownership



## Figure 15: Nonwhite Share of Hires by Cumulative Hires for Varying Firm Pay Premiums



## (a) Bottom Quintile

**Note:** This figure plots the  $\eta^{n,r}$  coefficient estimates from equation (??), summarizing the relationship between an establishment's racial composition of hires, its cumulative hires to date (*n*) and the race of its founder (*r*). The model is estimated via Poisson quasi maximum likelihood (PQML). In each panel the omitted category is the first hire of establishments with white founders.

## Figure 16: Nonwhite Share of Hires by Cumulative Hires for Varying Firm Pay Premiums



#### (a) Top Quintile

**Note:** This figure plots the  $\eta^{n,r}$  coefficient estimates from equation (??), summarizing the relationship between an establishment's racial composition of hires, its cumulative hires to date (*n*) and the race of its founder (*r*). The model is estimated via Poisson quasi maximum likelihood (PQML). In each panel the omitted category is the first hire of establishments with white founders.
# **Alternative Interpretation: Human Capital**

- Occupational composition and skill requirements change as firms grow
- Correlation with race could partially explain hiring dynamics
- ▶ Define \$\overline{\overlin}\overlin{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overline{\overlin}\overlin{\overline{\overlin}\overlin{\overlin}\overlin{\overlin{\overlin{\overlin}\overlin{\overlin{\overlin{\overlin}\overlin{\overlin}\overlin{

$$\log(\mathsf{E}\left[\bar{\omega}_{o(i,t)}|\cdot\right]) = \sum_{n} \sum_{r} \eta^{n,r} \times \mathbb{1}_{\{N(J,t)=n\}} \times \mathbb{1}_{\{R(J)=r\}} + \tau_t + \psi_{J(i,t)} + \epsilon_{it}.$$

 η<sup>n,white</sup> measures predicted share non-white in white-founded firms after *n* hires.

### Figure 17: Occupation-Based Predicted Nonwhite Share



# Do Nonwhite Workers Prefer Larger Establishments?

- Potential explanation: nonwhite workers have stronger preference for larger employers
- Can infer preferences from worker mobility between employers Sorkin (2018)
- Poaching index: share of new hires poached from other employers Bagger and Lentz (2018)

$$p_J = \frac{n(.,J)}{n(0,J) + n(.,J)}$$

- n(., J) is number of hires poached from other establishments; n(0, J) hires from unemployment
- We measure race-specific poaching indices, relate to establishment size

# Figure 18: Poaching Index by Founder Race and Total Hires: White Hires



## Figure 19: Poaching Index by Founder Race and Total Hires: Non-white Hires



- Employer taste-based discrimination could contribute
- Not clear why hiring preference should decline with cumulative hire
- Not easily reconciled with dismissal rate findings

#### Back

- Workers may be more productive when grouped with their own race Lang (1986)
- Perhaps these complementarities dissipate with size / hires
- Again, hard to rationalize dismissal evidence without *ad hoc* assumptions

Back

# Alternative Explanation: Screening Ability

- Founders might be better at screening same-race workers (in absence of referrals)
  Giuliano et al. (2011); Åslund et al. (2014); Benson et al. (2019)
- If firms invest in screening technology with size, the relative advantage could dissipate over time
- Hard to rule out; referral may just be one of the ways this effect manifests
- We can at least provide evidence consistent with the referral channel

# $\log(E(\mathsf{DISMISSED-3M}_{it}|\cdot)) = \tau_t + \omega_{o(i,t)} + \beta\mathsf{NONWHITE}_i + \epsilon_{it}$

#### Table 5: Racial Differences in Dismissal Rates by Founder Race

		All Entrants			White Founders			Nonwhite Founders		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Panel A <i>Outcome</i> : Dismissa Nonwhite	l 0.083 (0.001)	0.042 (0.001)	0.075 (0.001)	0.121 (0.001)	0.081 (0.001)	0.114 (0.001)	0.042 (0.001)	0.011 (0.001)	0.036 (0.001)	
Year FEs Occupation FEs Education FEs	V	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	V	$\checkmark$	√ √	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	√ √	
Number of Obs.		52,376,661			35,138,895			17,237,766		



Following Buhai et al. (2014), we define a worker's *seniority index* as follows:

- Define q<sub>ijt</sub> as number of workers in establishment j with tenure greater than or equal to tenure of worker i at time t
- Define n<sub>jt</sub> as total number of workers in establishment j at time t
- The seniority index is defined as

 $\log r_{ijt} \equiv \log n_{jt} - \log q_{ijt}.$ 

 $\log r_{ijt} = \tau_t + \omega_{o(i,t)} + \beta \text{NONWHITE}_i + \gamma \log n_{jt} + \epsilon_{it}$ 

#### Table 6: Racial Differences in Seniority by Founder Race

	All Entrants			White Founders			Nonwhite Founders			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	
Panel B <i>Outcome</i> : Seniority Nonwhite	Index -0.049 (0.000)	-0.040 (0.000)	-0.050 (0.000)	-0.097 (0.000)	-0.086 (0.000)	-0.099 (0.000)	0.002 (0.000)	0.005 (0.000)	0.000 (0.000)	
Year FEs Occupation FEs Education FEs	~	$\checkmark$	√ √	~	$\checkmark$	√ √	V	$\checkmark$	√ √	
Number of Obs.	39,203,654				26,171,760			13,031,894		

#### Figure 20: Nonwhite Share of New Hires by Establishment Size

